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Background Leading to Study

- Study conducted at voluntary child welfare agency in Northeast
- Implementing the Sanctuary Model
- Previous focus group study investigating implementation
- Indirect Care staff felt their perspective was not accurately represented
- Indirect Care Core Team decided to pursue own study

Prior research

- Connection between organizational climate and outcomes of children (Glisson, 2007)
- Yet, efforts to implement organizational climate interventions have met challenges (Franklin & Hopson, 2007)
- Indirect Care Staff often overlooked in studies on organizational effectiveness (Bloom et al., 2003)
Study questions

- How invested was the Indirect Care Staff in Sanctuary Model implementation?
- Had all Indirect Care Staff been trained on the model?
- How well was Sanctuary Model behavior being practiced by agency staff:
  - Use of Tools
  - Demonstration of 7 Commitments

Study design

- Participatory Action Research
- Involvement of agency Indirect Care Staff Core Team
- Collaborative process in survey development and dissemination
- Online and hard copies
- Three week turnaround
- Descriptive analyses and Cronbach’s α
Measures

- Organizational Change Recipients Belief Scale (OCRBS; Armenakis, Bernerth, Pitts, & Walker, 2007); 19 study items, 7 point scale from Strongly Agree to Strongly Disagree
  - Discrepancy
  - Appropriateness
  - Efficacy
  - Principal Support
  - Valence
- Researcher developed Commitment scale; 35 items, 5 point scale from A very great extent to Not at all
- Additional survey questions

Results

- 46% response rate (N=39)
- Moderate employee investment in Sanctuary Model implementation
- 86.5% received some training on the model
Readiness for Organizational Change (1-7)

Timing of Most Recent Training
### Employee Understanding of Tools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Number of employees who understand to a great/very great extent</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I understand the Sanctuary Model tools</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I understand the reason behind each of the tools</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>47.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I know how to use each of the tools</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>38.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Use of Tools
(at least once/week)

- Active Listening: 8
- Compassion: 6
- Self Care: 7
- Safety Plan: 5
- Team Mgs: 4
- SEL P: 2
- Bed Mgs: 1
Demonstration of Commitments
Research developed instrument (1-5)*

- Cronbach’s α = .985

Demonstration of Sanctuary Model Behavior by Employee Group (1-5)
Implementation of Sanctuary Model

- **Question:** Has the agency successfully implemented the Sanctuary Model?
- **Response:** Over half (58.3%) of indirect care staff believe that the agency has successfully implemented the Sanctuary Model; 82.9% believe that the agency will eventually achieve certification.

Findings

- Employees moderately engaged in organizational change
- Most employees trained
- Variability in use of tools
- Difference in demonstration of Commitments
  - Commitment
  - Employee Group
Limitations

- Low response rate
- Cross-sectional
- Use of only one standardized instrument

Implications

- Need for some additional training; however does not stop with training
- Sanctuary Model implementation is a process; continuous, ongoing effort to improve demonstration of Sanctuary Model behavior by all in an organization
Conclusion

- Benefits of Participatory Action Research
  - Valuable actionable data collected
  - Employees interested in findings
- Sanctuary Model principles in line with employee engagement to implement and sustain model (i.e., Democracy, Social Responsibility, Social Learning, and Growth & Change)
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